## **POTATO STOCKS**

Crop Reporting Board

Released:

May 14, 1980 3:00 P.M. ET



Economics, Statistics, & Cooperatives Service

U.S. Department of Agriculture

Washington, D.C. 20250

## STOCKS TWO PERCENT BELOW LAST YEAR

An estimated 63.5 million cwt of fall potatoes for all uses were held by growers, processors, and dealers on May 1, 1980. This was 2 percent below the inventory on May 1, 1979, the first year for which May 1 stocks estimates were available.

The regional totals are as follows: the seven Eastern States had 8.78 million cwt on hand, 53 percent above a year ago. Maine's holdings, at 8.0 million cwt, accounted for the bulk of the region's total and remained sharply above last season. Stocks in the eight Central States totaled 6.81 million cwt, a 19 percent reduction from the May 1, 1979 level. North Dakota trimmed inventories by 37 percent while Minnesota holdings were 4 percent below last May 1. The eight Western States held 47.9 million cwt in storage, off 6 percent from May 1, 1979. Idaho's stocks, at 29.5 million cwt, comprised well over half of the region's total and were 9 percent less than last year. Washington's holdings, below year-earlier levels for all prior months, are now 11 percent above last year's May 1 total. In Oregon, stocks were 17 percent below a year ago.

Disappearance of the 1979 fall crop to May 1, 1980 was 233 million cwt (excluding Nevada), down 8 percent from the comparable period last season. The total disappearance includes 28.5 million cwt of potatoes that were dumped during grading, fed to livestock on farms where grown, discarded without grading, and lost due to shrinkage (moisture loss).

The quantity of potatoes processed to May 1, 1980 in the seven major processing States totaled 86.4 million cwt, 6 percent less than the 91.4 million cwt processed during the comparable period last season and the seven major processed during the comparable period last season and the seven major processed during the comparable period last season and the seven major processed during the comparable period last season and the seven major processed during the comparable period last season and the seven major processed during the comparable period last season and the seven major processed during the comparable period last season and the seven major processed during the comparable period last season and the seven major processed during the comparable period last season and the seven major processed during the comparable period last season and the seven major processed during the comparable period last season and the seven major processed during the comparable period last season and the seven major processed during the comparable period last season and the seven major period last season and the season and t

For Information Call: (202) 447-7720

Pot 1-2 (5-80)

NOTE: Stocks are defined as the quantity remaining in storage for all purposes and uses, including shrinkage and waste and other losses that occur after the date of each report. Sales of fall potatoes for all purposes generally account for about 90 percent of the total fall production. Shrinkage and loss and home use account for the remaining 10 percent.

TABLE 1. FALL POTATOES: PRODUCTION, DECEMBER 1, JANUARY 1, FEBRUARY 1, MARCH 1, APRIL 1, AND MAY 1 TOTAL STOCKS, CROPS OF 1969-79 IN THE FALL STATES

	:	:	TOTAL STOCKS							
YEAR	PRODUCTION	: DEC 1	:			FOLLOWING	YEAR			
	:	:	: JAN	1 :	FEB 1	: MAR 1	: APR 1	: MAY 1		
	:			1	,000 CW1	T				
1969 1970 1971 <u>1</u> / 1972 1973 1974 1975 1976 1977 1978	252,561 267,827 266,707 249,320 254,379 289,342 278,391 307,427 307,064 323,498 301,628	162,800 175,145 176,390 158,565 157,837 187,935 185,965 201,980 206,690 223,290 209,180	138,1 150,0 151,4 134,4 133,6 163,0 159,1 174,7 178,2 196,0 182,7	30 1 35 1 20 1 65 1 95 1 40 1 75 1 80 1	11,510 22,230 24,375 07,310 06,615 33,425 31,685 43,925 49,690 64,666 54,005	87,620 96,780 98,485 83,380 81,165 104,116 104,050 114,140 120,970 133,247 126,530	58,250 55,870 75,905 71,640 81,875 89,205 99,808 96,821	65,116 63,532		

<sup>1/</sup> BEGINNING WITH 1971, LATE SUMMER PRODUCTION FOR N Y - LI, WIS AND WASH HAS BEEN CLASSIFIED AS FALL.

TABLE 2. POTATOES USED FOR PROCESSING 1/, SEVEN STATES, 1978 AND 1979 CROP 2/

	STORAGE SEASON	TO DEC 1	TO JAN 1	: TO : FEB 1	: TO : MAR 1	: TO : APR 1 :	TO MAY 1	: ENTIRE : SEASON :	
	1,000 CWT								
	1978-79 1979-80	15,720 14,210	21,215 18,680	26,055 23,980	31,130 28,840	37,095 34,440	43,305 40,010	61,290	
	1978-79 1979-80	2,280 2,010	2,800 2,655	3,670 3,470	4,390 4,245	5,355 5,200	6,205 6,200	8,140	
	1978-79 1979-80	15,075 13,510	18,380 16,995	22,230 20,725	26,035 24,915	30,125 29,395	34,770 33,360	44,295	
	1978-79 1979-80	2,625 2,625	3,520 3,365	4,420 4,215	5,290 5,055	6,255 6,005	7,155 6,825	10,540	
· - · · · ·	1978-79 1979-80	35,700 32,355	45,915 41,695	56,375 52,390	66,845 63,055	78,830 75,040	91,435 86,395	124,265	

<sup>1/</sup> TOTAL QUANTITY RECEIVED AND USED FOR PROCESSING REGARDLESS OF THE STATE IN WHICH THE POTATOES WERE PRODUCED. DOES NOT INCLUDE QUANTITIES USED FOR POTATO CHIPS IN MAINE, MICH OR MINN. 2/ 1978-79 REVISED. 3/ INCLUDES MAINE GROWN POTATOES ONLY. 4/ MICH, MINN AND N DAK.

TABLE 3. POTATOES: PRODUCTION AND TOTAL STOCKS OF FALL POTATOES HELD BY GROWERS, PROCESSORS, AND LOCAL DEALERS ON MAY 1, 1979 AND MAY 1, 1980

		CROP OF 1978	*	CROP OF 1979			
STATE	PRODUCTION	: :toTAL STOCKS::MAY 1, 1979:		PRODUCTION	:TOTAL STOCKS		
	1,00	O CWT	PERCENT	1,00	OO CWT	PERCENT	
CALIF COLO CONN	6,055 11,275	900 2,150 1/	15 19	6,364 11,455 1/		15 16	
IDAHO IND	$100,3\overline{10}$	$32,5\overline{00}$	32	88,2 $\frac{1}{00}$		33	
MAINE MASS	25,9 <del>6</del> 0	4,4 <del>0</del> 0	17	28,750 1/	8,0 <u>0</u> 0	28	
MICH MINN	8,5 <del>0</del> 0 14,910	$\frac{1,200}{2,700}$	14 18	8,0 <u>0</u> 0 12,920	7 <del>0</del> 0 2,600	9 20	
MONT NEBR	2,088 1,800	200 250	10 14	1,800 1,482	260 160	14 11	
NY - LI	6,175	80	1	6,431	85	1	
- UPSTATE :	6,500	300	5	6,463	120	2	
N DAK OHIO	22,400	3,200 1/	14	18,240 2,400	2,000 55	11 2	
OREG	28,488	5,6 <del>5</del> 0	20	25,310		19	
PA :	6,250	900	14	6,000	550	9	
R I S DAK	$\frac{1}{1,190}$	<u>1</u> / 1 <del>0</del> 0	8	$\frac{1}{1,203}$	1 <u>1</u> /	12	
UTAH	1/	1/	, and the second	1,300	130	10	
VT	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{\overline{1}}{2}$	3.0	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	0.3	
WASH WIS	50,685 17,325	9,5 <u>0</u> 0 880	19 5	48,9 <del>2</del> 5 17,010		21 7	
MAO	1/,525	<u>1</u> /		1,144		6	
OTH STS	8,147	206	3	3,281	42	1	
23 STATE TOTAL 2/	318,058	65,116	20	296,678	63,532	21	

<sup>1/</sup> STOCKS INCLUDED IN OTHER STATES. 2/ EXCLUDING NEV FOR WHICH NO STOCKS ESTIMATES ARE MADE. NEV 1978 PRODUCTION 5,440,000 CWT AND 1979 PRODUCTION 4,950,000 CWT.

## 3 1262 08862 0892

## POTATO STOCKS QUALITY SURVEY MINNESOTA AND NORTH DAKOTA (RED RIVER VALLEY)

The potato stocks quality information contained in this report is based on a survey conducted by the Economics, Statistics, and Cooperatives Service in the Red River Valley of North Dakota and Minnesota. Sample bags of potatoes selected on a random basis were placed in storage at harvest time. Reports issued each month during the 1979-80 storage season have shown the cumulative average grade and weight loss for these potatoes at the time of removal from storage. At the request of the Red River Valley Potato Growers Association, samples were selected only for processing potatoes.

Samples of white varieties recovered before May 1, 1980 continue to indicate a significantly lower quality both at harvest and after storage than samples recovered by the comparable date a year earlier. The decline while in storage of white varieties grading No. 1 (including B's) was 10 percentage points for samples removed from storage to date. This compares with a 12 point decline during the same period a year earlier. The percent of white varieties graded as culls at harvest and after storage for samples recovered by May 1 is well above a year ago. Total weight loss while in storage for samples recovered to date is 5 percent, the same as last season.

Based on samples of <u>russet varieties</u> recovered by May 1, 1980, the quality at harvest is also indicated well below a year <u>earlier</u>, but after storage quality is now 1 percentage point higher than last May 1. The percentage of russet samples grading No. 1 (including B's) declined 6 points between harvest and May 1, 1980, compared with a 15 point decline during the comparable period last season. The percent of russet varieties graded as culls both at harvest and after storage is much higher this season than for samples recovered by May 1, 1979. The total weight loss while in storage this year was 6 percent to May 1, compared with a 4 percent weight loss during the comparable period last season.

The cooperation of the many potato growers and storage operators who assisted on this project is greatly appreciated.

POTATO STOCKS QUALITY SURVEY, RED RIVER VALLEY, 1979-80 AVERAGE GRADE OF POTATOES

CROP    NO. 1											
### : AT : AFTER : AT : AFTER : AT : AFTER : AT : AFTER : LOSS : HARVEST : STORAGE : H	CROP	: ]			: \	10. 2	: : 0	ULLS	: : NO. 1	B'S <u>1</u> /	WEICHT
SAMPLES RECOVERED BEFORE MAY 1, 1980 2/  WHITE RUSSET 73 67 7 7 7 20 26 6 8 6  SAMPLES RECOVERED BEFORE MAY 1, 1979 2/  WHITE 88 76 6 10 6 14 6 5 7 7 7 4 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7	CINOI										
WHITE RUSSET		:					PERCENT				
SAMPLES RECOVERED BEFORE MAY 1, 1979 2/  WHITE		•			SAMPLES	RECOVERED	BEFORE MA	Y 1, 1980	<u>2</u> /	•	
WHITE : 88 76 6 10 6 14 6 5 3 4					8 7	9 7				4 8	5 6
RUSSET : 81 66 11 18 8 16 2 3 4  : ALL SAMPLES 1978-79 STORAGE SEASON-FINAL 2/  WHITE : 88 76 6 10 6 14 6 6 6		:			SAMPLES	RECOVERED	BEFORE MA	Y 1, 1979	2/		
RUSSET : 81 66 11 18 8 16 2 3 4 : ALL SAMPLES 1978-79 STORAGE SEASON-FINAL 2/ : 88 76 6 10 6 14 6 6 6	WHITE	: 8	38	76	6	10	6	14	6	6	5
: WHITE : 88 76 6 10 6 14 6 6										3	4
		:			ALL SAMPL	ES 1978-79	STORAGE SI	EASON-FINA	L <u>2</u> /		
	WHITE	: 8	38	76	6	10	6	14	6	6	6
											4

1/ NO. 1 B'S ARE POTATOES THAT MEET THE U.S. NO. 1 GRADE BUT DO NOT MEET THE MINIMUM SIZE STANDARDS FOR THE AREA: REO AND WHITE VARIETIES - 1 1/2-2 1/4 INCHES IN DIAMETER; RUSSET VARIETIES - UNDER 2 INCHES IN DIAMETER OR LESS THAN 4 OUNCES. 2/ MATCHED SAMPLES; QUALITY AT HARVEST COMPARED WITH QUALITY AFTER STORAGE.